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Restraints and problems in the field of combating terrorism and crimes done by immigrants in Europe

For a few decades, since the end of late seventies and early eighties, i.e. the successful Islamic revolution in Iran and the time Soviet troops entered the territory of Afghanistan, the revolutionary approach has not been fading. It pushes some individuals to act against the real and imaginary enemies of their faith as well as against their fellow believers if they are not prayerful enough, they don’t share “the rightful beliefs” and they do not want to join the fight. In many parts of the globe there is a religious radicalization process going on and the Islam is not an exception here, although this case is more spectacular and visible. Nevertheless, religious radicalism does not omit Judaism, Christianity, Hinduism or even Buddhism, religion and philosophy, which seemed to be free from any indications of extremism until very recently. On the other hand there has been observed ongoing secularization in Europe. Though, contrary to some opinions, it is not that in societies that abandon their religion, Islam fulfils the spiritual gap. It depends mostly on the colonial past of the country and “open door” politics, thanks to which immigrants were able to come to the Western countries. The idea is confirmed by the statistics and particularly comparison between Czech Republic and France. According to the Gallup survey from 57 countries, in terms of the number of atheists China is in the first place with 47 %, next Japan with 31%, Czech Republic -30% and France -29%. In France, next 34% of questioned claimed themselves as “practically non-religious” and 36% claimed that they believed in God. In 2005 only about 15% of French claimed to be atheists. In the European Union the most Islam believers live in France. France and Czech Republic have similar number of citizens claiming to be atheists, whereas Czech Republic has a very small number of immigrants, including Muslims in Europe also regarding the percentage of Muslims towards the whole society. The population of Muslim people in Czech Republic is less than 10 000, in 2005 there were about 3000 and 300 converts. The overall Czech population is 10, 5 million so the percentage of all religions other than Christianity is less than 0,2 percent. In France, having almost 70 million population, there is an 8 % share of people of all religions other than Christianity. And it is only the people who stay legally in the country. There are more than 6 mln Muslims in France, some claim

that the number stands between 8 and 10 mln. ca. 4.5 mln are legal immigrants at the age of 18 or more. In the whole population of France Muslims under 18 account for a dozen or more than 20%. Every one in third newborn in France has Muslim parents. The French left presented immigrants from former colonies as victims of the metropolis’ detriments and have been arousing their restitutionary and demanding attitudes which caused dislike to the country of settlement.

Muslim minorities in countries with relatively large Muslim diaspora more and more aggressively demand law adjustments to their needs. Those, who have been staying in Europe for a longer period of time, found proper living conditions here but under their rules, they shall enjoy the privileges and bring their relatives in. They try to enforce concessions stemming from their faith. Islamic radicals, although condemn liberal democracy, can take advantage of it. When they call upon destruction of the West, they refer to a speech freedom, but while being the object of criticism, they call it intolerance, racism and islamophobia. Muslims do not integrate, quite the contrary, they try to emphasize their autonomy and superiority, they build parallel societies, close in their ghettos, where there live circa 80% of them. Those who are willing to integrate are usually non-practising Muslims, professionally successful. In 2013 Recep Tayyip Erdoğan, the then Prime Minister of Turkey while being in Germany admonished over 3 million Turkish minority in Germany from the integration with Germans, because, according to the Islamic doctrine, Islam forbids coexistence with the unfaithful. During his visit to Germany in 2015, in one of the warehouses in Karlsruhe, Erdoğan asked almost 14 000 supporters: Do you want us to start building the new Turkey, beginning from here, from Germany? In response he heard a loud Yes!.

Erdoğan stressed that panturkish identity is supported by the language and Islam. He explained his fellow countrymen: You cannot learn German or English if you don’t learn Turkish well! During his speech he called the name of Allah many times and appealed to the Turkish communities in Germany to enhance global Turkish influence, which had sent to Germany 900 imams. Following the orders of authorities in Ankara they were supposed to prevent a quick integration of Muslims with the rest of society.

Symptomatic is the example of Heina Buschowsky, a long term mayor of Neuköln district in Berlin, dominated by the Muslim population. During one of the meetings with residents of the district he asked one of the leading Muslim activists there what was his opinion on the obligation of integration of newcomers with the local society, he got the answer: If the Germans do not like the way we live here, let them go to Majorka. Even stronger words were given to Hyacinthe Myard – a candidate during election campaign in 2012 in Yvelines district near Paris. He was on a visit to local bazaar in the town of Satrouville, where quite large number of people from Maghreb countries live. He was accosted by an anonymous Arab man who told him that: The land used to belong to Arabs and he had nothing to do there. The site belonged to
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5 AEW, *How Turkey controls Islam in Germany* [online], www.euroislam.pl [access: 27 IV 2016].
Muslims not to the French. And that he was a racist, Zionist and he should go away. Western countries appear to be practically helpless in the clash with culturally stranger newcomers. Even though France managed to win the battle for headscarves, it is only a temporary victory. The boundaries between a state and a religion are being determined and it was the EU that tried to create the so called European Islam.

In the early 21st century the topic of the so called EuroIslam was very popular and meant “civilized Islam” that, influenced by European ideas and values shall abandon Sharia, jihad and the right to rule the world and shall become an individual and private business of the Muslims. European institutions paid a lot of money for studies in this subject. There have appeared lots of papers on the topic, also in Poland. There were reports by scientists and young people often performing in the media as experts. Their works, PhD theses and opinions which were to enhance promoted by the EU multiculturalism ideology, occurred a wishful thinking. They often based on false assumptions and lack of knowledge on the nature of Islam. Professor Bassam Tibi, Syrian sociologist and political scientist based in Germany, author of the EuroIslam concept, has openly given up. One of the main reasons for this capitulation was the fact that radical groups intended on monopolizing Islam representation in European countries, especially in Germany. According to professor Tibi, it is impossible to maintain religious pluralism if the one and only partner for the governments in the dialogue with Muslim communities in Europe are Islamist organizations or Salafis. This way Islam is deprived of possibility to have European color based on pluralism, secularity and tolerance. Nevertheless, a direct impulse for the Professor to write such bitter words was the mass influx of immigrants and refugees to Europe in 2015. Among them Professor Tibi (…) could not see any woman dressed European-style. He went to a conclusion that “scarf Islam” has won. Woman’s headscarf and man’s beard are Islamist signs. According to Tibi this headscarf has two meanings. First, it (…) expresses ideology of Sharia and second, its aim is (…) to fence oneself off all that which is regarded as Western, European and secular.

Just like Turkey wants to control Islam among Turkish-speaking immigrants in Europe, Saudi Arabia wants to impose its rigorous and puritan Wahhabi Islam. In 1980s during Afghanistan war there was a great propaganda industry of this trend of Islam financed by Saudi Arabia. Up to now there have been books, films and tv programs produced on a global scale which reject democracy and treat women harshly and contemptuously. Not receiving refugees from Muslim countries, Saudi Arabia announced that it would build 200 mosques in Germany. Wahhabi preachers and missionaries have been able to penetrate Muslim societies in Europe for a long time. With no obstacles they have spread “dirty West” propaganda among young people. Such extreme learning obviously do not reach all Muslims and not all of those who listen to Wahhabi
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8 J. Wójcik, *Is it already the end of EuroIslam idea?* [online], www.euroislam.pl [access: 6 VI 2016].
9 Ibidem.
preachers follow radical paths. Nevertheless, religions need majority. It is quite often some active minority tries to compensate its small number by an intensified enthusiasm, zeal, money and political influence. Al Qaeda changed the world by 9/11 attacks. Jama’atu Ahlis Sunna Lidda’Awati Wal-Jihad or Boko Haram have been killing in north-eastern Nigeria as well as Harakat ash-Shabāb al-Mujāhidin in Somalia until now.

The Islamic State (IS) which has spread since 2013 from Iraq to Syria, designed by former officers of Saddam Hussein special services\(^{10}\), showed cynically how not important the faith itself was. Sunnis from the IS reduced Islam to pure submission, faith coat, its symbols, rules and religious rituals. The IS has murdered and has still been murdering in Syria and Iraq although not on the scale as between 2014 and 2015 mainly its own Sunni fellow believers. Its fighters aimed at leaders of separate rebel groupings, especially of rival Syrian branch of Al-Qaeda, i.e. Jabhat an-Nusrah li-ahli ash-Sham, which changed the name on 28 July 2016 for Jabhat Fateh al-Sham and on 28 January 2017 formed the Hayyat Tahrir ash-Saam coalition. At the same time, the IS recruited more than 20 000 volunteers from around the world. The IS promised money, cars, women, adventure of a lifetime or consolation prize for those who had not succeeded in their home countries. Recruiters in Western Europe claimed that Muslims are not to blame for their failures because it is infidels who are to blame. It is only the IS that gives them a new, fulfilling life and the possibility to have control over others. They lured on many young people this way. Some of them were sent to death. Some could rape, torture and murder all they wanted. Peter Neumann, German expert on terrorism, says about “proletarianisation”. He claims that current IS mercenaries are not religious middle class representatives any more. Nowadays they come from criminal background and are religious illiterates. This way he explains their progressing brutality. It is easier for them now to organize and carry on terrorist attacks. They know perfectly well how to get weapons and money. Criminal and jihadist elements interpenetrate within the IS. Profiles of many European Muslims recruited by IS are very similar. Usually they are second generation of immigrants, who have not succeed in Europe, with no good education, often with history of petty crimes. Selected knowledge about religion gives them motivation and support transforming their anger into a tool of terror. Individuals with criminal background treat the transformation into a jihadist as some kind of purification\(^{11}\).

As long as there is no religious superior the one and only authority in Islam and practically every scholar can interpret the Quran and hadith on their own, breakup of Muslim diaspora in Europe works to our advantage. By “breakup”, I mean not only a division into different strands in Islam like Sunni Islam, Shia Islam, Sufizm, Salafi

\(^{10}\) American governor to Iraq, Paul Bremer’s decrees on the elimination from the public life Baas officers and disbanding Iraqi Army leaving thousands of officers destitute were direct cause of a revolt against American troops and against NATO in Iraq. They also caused origin and development of Iraqi Al-Qaeda which was transformed into the so called Islamic State (IS) in 2014, breaking ties with Al-Qaeda in 2013.

\(^{11}\) *Zeal worse than jihadism*, „Forum” 2016, no. 26, pp. 12–18.
movement, ahmadiyya but also ethnic criteria visible also in Poland. The Tatars have been functioning separately as well as Pakistanis and Arabs. In 2014 media covered a conflict between Muslims in the city of Gdańsk regarding imam Hani Hraisz, of Palestinian origin. There was also a conflict in the Tatar society divided into groups of critics and supporters of mufti Tomasz Miśkiewicz, chair of the Highest Council of the Muslim Religious Association. Obviously everybody can get radicalized under some fanatic ideas but it is much easier within ethnically homogenous Muslim environments and communities. In Western Europe, where the number of Muslims is incompatibly higher, the divisions are more visible. Berbers from the North Africa and Black believers of Islam do not necessary want to share mosques with Arabs. Each of the groups would like to have their own mosque. Such problems when groups of Muslims do not want to share places of prayers have been reflected in the events that took place in the French town of Mulhouse. In front of the mosque there were sharp clashes between Chechens and Islam believers from the Maghreb countries. After a wedding ceremony supporters of the two groups skirmished. Six persons were injured. Local Police tried to separate supporters of the two groups but additional forces form Strasbourg were needed. After the additional Police forces had come, both fighting groups turned against the Police together and the youth from the nearby housing estates supported them as well. Eventually, a helicopter, rubber bullets and gas were needed. Five policemen were injured. A dozen of persons were arrested including a man who intended to help his Islamic brothers with a double-barreled shotgun. This example reflects one more thing, regardless of divisions, Muslims in Europe are capable to cooperate against law enforcement in their host countries. Riots on the European streets, notably France, show the phenomenon clearly.

**Policy of appeasement or combating crimes and terrorist threats consistently**

In February 2017 European Parliament seconded a directive on countering terrorism (CT). It states that that journey abroad to join a terrorist group, terrorist training and participation in such training as well as financing terrorism are crimes. Gathering money, logistic organization and support to facilitate journeys abroad, like for example buying tickets or planning routs of journeys, have also been recognized as crimes. The legislation embraces also extension of information exchange between EU countries on CT matters as well as enhancing rights of terrorism victims. The victims of terrorism have the right to immediate medical and psychological assistance, legal assistance and assistance in suing for damages. From that moment on UE countries have had eighteen months to implement the directive into their national law systems. The new rules of law are the EU’s response to the so called “foreign fighters” phenomenon, i.e. individuals who went to the Middle East to join the so called Islamic State (IS) or other terrorist organizations and get back to Europe to try to plan any attacks. Terrorist
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attacks in Paris, 13 November 2015 and in Brussels, 22 March 2016 are the examples. The directive was criticized by the European Network Against Racism (ENAR). According to ENAR implementation of the directive can weaken respect for basic human rights in the EU and can cause discrimination on the grounds of religion or race. The directive makes it possible to punish activities which may not have anything in common with terrorist attacks. A very broad definition of “terrorism glorification” or “travelling on terrorist purposes” can have impact on restricting human rights.

Meanwhile, in some EU countries we have the opposite situation. The so called political correctness and too much care of human rights, sometimes taken almost to an absurd level, hinders combating Islamic extremism and terrorism. In Sweden, for example journeys of volunteers abroad to the IS and taking part in fighting are not regarded as crime. Swedish foreign fighters can safely come back to the country, heal their wounds and go for jihad again. Only since the terror attacks in Paris, 13 November 2016 the authorities in Stockholm have been considering tightening the rules concerning issuing of passports in order to make illegal trade in the documents harder. After new rules enter into force, Swedish nationals shall apply for a new passport only three times in five years. Up to now the documents could have been issued without any restrictions. Finland is another country in which foreign fighting phenomenon is not a crime as well as being a member of an organization regarded as terrorist.

The authorities of the Swedish town of Lund are going to realize a rehabilitation plan for IS fighters which aims at fundament shift away from cooperation with their terrorist brothers. Material support should be of help as well as securing housing and education access for all volunteers who had previously cooperated with the IS. According to Ann Sjostrand, a representative of Lund administration, the role the program plays will be an effective one and costs of this rehabilitation will not be high. This controversial project by the Lund administration has been supported by Christopher Carlsson, a criminologist who wrote the appropriate report. He stated that the rehabilitation program is required and may appear a success. The criminologist claims that those jihadists who decided to stop their activity should be given a job, housing and financial support. It would allow former terrorists to autonomise. Carlsson points out that people who abandon extremism should not be treated differently than ordinary citizens. It is probable that the program projected in Lund will also be introduced in Malmö, Borlange and Örebro. This way the Swedes keep this ideological attitude consisted with political correctness rejecting facts and experience of other countries, like Saudi Arabia, Spain, France or Norway. It seems that Christopher Carlsson forgot that IS members in this process of rehabilitation, treated better than ordinary citizens

13 It is only now that in Sweden there are ideas to punish for financing terrorism, for taking part in terrorist trainings, for going to the Middle East for jihad and fighting for IS. More information on the liberal attitude of Swedish people towards the threat from Islamic radicalism and terrorism in the article by J.G, Sanatorium for jihad veterans, „Wprost” [online], www.wprost.pl [access: 30 XI 2015].
because of having committed crimes, will be rewarded not punished. On the other hand, it is widely known that the process of deradicalization is virtual. It is impossible to remove their faith and ideology from their minds. Sweden is on the second place in Europe as far as the number of volunteers for fighting in the Middle East is concerned. Belgium comes top of the list. It is ca. 32 jihadists per million citizens, so it gives more than 300 in total. These are individuals who are known to Swedish special services. And how will be treated those who have had nothing in common with Sweden until now and they would like to get to Sweden to avoid punishment in their own country? They may take the opportunity and say “yes” to participation in the integration process in advance. The same may relate to hundreds of immigrants who will be able to do everything to get to Sweden, confess to their radical beliefs to be treated better than others. A symptom of Sweden’s compliance to Islamist extremists is also its law court’s decisions that are lenient with their ideology. Swedish prosecutor, Gisela Sjövall abandoned a prosecution of a 23-year-old Syrian who posted IS flag on his Facebook account. The case was proceeded under section regarding hatred towards national minorities (legal status of the case was tortuous). According to the prosecutor it is impossible to talk about IS crime because this organization hates equally everybody who does not belong to it. And brandishing with IS flag is not directed against any particular ethnic group. The same flag is used by other terrorist organizations as well. It seems that the Swedish prosecutor proceeded under such section in order to abandon the case giving additionally such surprising grounds for the decision. Such case can astonish or upset, nevertheless, it should be pointed out that there is a dynamic trend in Sweden to establish another huge European islamization centre after those in France, Germany, the UK, Belgium and the Netherlands also because of political correctness ideology and utopia of a multicultural society. In 2016 Adly Abu Hayara, imam from Malmö noticed that Sweden is the best Islamic state.

Sweden has got some problems with juvenile immigrants. There were more than 160 000 immigrants in 2015, including ca. 35 000 so called lone children (under age without parents or legal curators). In January 2016 Jusaf Nur, a 15-year-old Somali stabbed Alexandra Mezher, a 22-year-old worker of refugees reception center to death. He was a lone child and like others did not have any documents confirming his identity nor age. His arrest aroused some protests from human rights activists because of his age. A person under 15 cannot be arrested in Sweden. Many experts expressed their doubts about the real age of the arrested claiming that he must have been older than he had stated. Establishing the age of a person in Sweden is done visually, the authorities do not analyze it by medical methods because they are regarded as disparaging (the age is tested by the analysis of cranium X-ray or dental examination). During the trial prosecutor questioned the age of the Somali and demanded that he should stand trial like an adult because the latter examination revealed that Nur was at least
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18 years old\textsuperscript{16}. Other countries are not so “politically correct” and check the age of juveniles. Denmark and Norway experience show that between 66\% and 75\% of those who claim to be underage, are already adults for a long time. Lack of age medical assessment in Sweden makes this country the most popular EU destination for young immigrants. In 2015 the number of young immigrants have risen fivefold compared to the preceding year. It is very easy to get asylum in Sweden and not much attention to identity verification is paid. Given by immigrants personal details and age are just accepted. Such policy results in groups of bearded and unpunished “kids” who get in trouble with the law. Swedish administration of justice is helpless in this situation because young people under 15 are not liable to criminal proceedings and this makes it very difficult for big cities and small towns in Sweden to struggle with groups of Arab juveniles attacking passers-by, harassing women and breaching of the peace. Arrested people usually do not have any documents and policemen take their explanations that they are under 15 for granted. Even if there is any suspicion of a crime they are just set free. Established ad hoc vigilantes to restore law failed either because the Police took actions against them\textsuperscript{17}.

Swedish policemen confirm that law allowing convicted to choose when to serve a sentence is weak. People who received a sentence come back home and feel unpunished. Moreover, immigrants are let off lightly than citizens who commit the same crime. But it is not the rule typical only for Sweden. Similar situation is in other EU countries. According to some opinions it is because the authorities do not want to provoke unnecessary violence on the part of immigrants and give them some time for integration. Western countries realize the so called appeasement policy, which means some concessions and privileges for immigrants and Islam, sometimes gives Muslims more rights than other communities\textsuperscript{18}. This particular status discharges Muslims from complying with the law, which would be punished if done by other social groups. Simultaneously, the Organization of Islamic Cooperation (the Organization of Islamic Conference – OIC until June 2011) based in Saudi Arabia in cooperation with Western
leftists tries to implement in Western countries such law which would acknowledge any critics of Islam as a hate crime. In 2011 some Western countries signed Resolution 16/18 promoted by OCI putting ban on negative opinions on Islam. Human rights (terrorists) achieved advantage over the right to life (victims of attacks). One of the jihadists said openly: Our ally are your human rights. Another said: You, crusaders can only dream of the security. In March 2013 the UN Human Rights Council adopted the Resolution A/HRC/22/L.40 which states that terrorism in all its forms cannot be associated with any religion, nationality or ethnic group. Is that so that Palestinian, Bask, Corsican, Tamil and tens of others kinds of terrorism as well as Islamic terrorism at present do not exist? Despite the fact that majority of terrorist organizations have or had in their names ethnic, national or religious references? Lack of precise and clear definition of terrorism phenomenon and a ban on talking about it make it harder to draw efficient strategies to fight with it. In the UK there is legal interdiction to use “Muslim” as adjective together with nouns like “terrorism” or “terrorist”. This particular attitude towards oppressive Muslim countries in the EU shows its support for Saudi Arabia presence in the prestigious UN Human Rights Council (UNHRC). In 2015 the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia got chairmanship in the Consultative Group of the UNHCR, an influential assembly consisting of 5 persons, thanks to the UK. This way a representative of one of the most oppressive countries in the world, where everyday human rights are breached in the name of religion, has influence on the selection of experts who are to examine respect for human rights in Asia and the Middle East. It is worth mentioning that the legal order in Saudi Arabia is based on Sharia law in its most radical version. Following its rules a charge of blasphemy, apostasy or adultery is enough to sentence to death. The crimes under death penalty follow the acts of terror but are interpreted freely. The category of acts of terror embraces also damaging the reputation of the country, incitement to atheist thoughts and any contacts with groups being opposition to the authorities. Since 2011 (Arab spring) public gatherings have also been prohibited. The freedom of speech is also illusory in Saudi Arabia. Countries that opened their borders for immigrants admitted reluctantly that among refugees might have been IS fighters as well. These voices were harshly criticized by supporters of immigrants admission who claimed that there were no proof: for that and despite

19 ISIS threatens that it will flood Europe with immigrants, “We will send 500 000 people on boats and among them we will hide terrorists” [online], www.wpolityce.pl/swiat/ [access: 19 II 2015].

20 RO, PAP, Egypt bombed IS sites. In retaliation for its national death [online], www.wyborcza.pl [access: 16 II 2015].

21 An EU representative in the UN condemned rules indicated in the quoted document referring simultaneously to international support for the freedom of speech: (…) America is still the last bastion of freedom of speech but it is clear that even here we are on the down slope of free speech collapse. It is sad and terrifying. I hope people wake up because they are deprived of it step by step, while many think that they will keep it forever; D. Weiss Wolność słowa upada pod naporem islamu,(Freedom of speech falls down under pressure from Islam) [online], www.euroislam.pl [access: 30 VI 2013].

22 Saudi Arabia, A repressive regime stands up for human rights [online], www.wp.pl [access: 2 X 2015].
the fact that two of the perpetrators from Paris attacks on November 13, 2013 were the example. It was also known that IS has trained its fighters for asylum seekers, who would successfully gain asylum status in EU.

In 2015 IS published a guide on the Internet *How to survive in the West. A Mujahidin Guide* \(^{23}\). It is a detailed training video for potential fighters with the following chapters: 1. *Hiding the extremist identity*; 2. *Violation of loyalty*; 3. *Making money*; 4. *Internet privacy*; 5. *Training*; 6. *Primitive weapons*; 7. *Modern weaponry*; 8. *Home-made bombs*; 9. *Transportation of weaponry*; 10. *What happens when you are traced and you are assaulted*; 11. *Jihad starts*; 12. *Escape to find a safe place*. The material prepares “true” Muslims for a war in the heart of Europe. Armed fighting is to replace missionary activities (dawa) which is called “a language jihad” or “invitation to Islam” and despite huge efforts to show how good nationals in the European societies Muslims are, fails totally. According to authors of the training video infidel leaders of the Western countries lie on the media and call all the Muslims terrorists \(^{24}\), despite the fact that Muslims deny that because they want to be peaceful nationals. According to the authors, European societies beset Muslims and pushed them into radicalization \(^{25}\) what will be the failure of the West and the reason to conquer Rome. In the very beginning of the material one can read the following:

This book is a guidebook for Muslims who live on the territory where mostly non-Muslims live or in the country where leaders are harsh for the faithful. The guidebook is to explain to you different scenarios of situations you may come across or the way to react. It will teach you how to be an agent who leads a double life, what you as Muslims will have to do to survive in the coming years. The author of the book has studied the subject of jihad for more than ten years so he has the knowledge on different types of jihad groups in the world and on the reason why the groups had failed or succeeded. I hope you will benefit from it and remember that apart from Allah there is no power and shelter so beg him because you will need them \(^{26}\).

In January 2016 there was an IS manual for lone wolves published on the Internet, also in English for *those brothers who do not speak Arabic to use it during their blessed operations*, entitled *Safety and Security Guidelines for Lone Wolf Mujahidin* \(^{27}\). This 58-page terrorist instruction with burning skyscrapers in Western style on its cover describes how important is the moment of surprise in the attack and how to achieve


\(^{24}\) In reality it is the other way round, Western leaders avoid using an adjective “Muslim” together with the nouns “terrorism” or “terrorist” and when for example one of the British journalists uses unhappily such expression he is prosecuted and his editorial staff pays a huge fine.

\(^{25}\) It is a very interesting justification of the social exclusion since it is Islam and many religious authorities and leaders prohibits Muslims to integrate with Christians.


the maximum effect. It explains that night clubs with loud music and drunk people are perfect places to talk terrorist plans over without being noticed. The main idea of the manual is the necessity to incorporate into Western way of life in order not to attract any attention of Western security services. From the manual: There is no doubt that at the time of lone wolves, Brothers from the West, you need to know a few things on the security in order to achieve success. We hope many of you who do not speak Arabic will find this manual interesting and will use it for your operations. The author places strong emphasis on blending in. They have to shave off their beards, integrate and pretend Christians. It is very useful to wear small crosses. They should also avoid Muslim non-alcoholic perfumes and even Arabic food because it may be a trail for special services. They should dress Western style and use non-religious greeting, excluding for example As-Salaam-Alaikum. On the other hand, too often changes in behavior are not recommended because it may arouse suspicions. There are also special guidelines for leaders who had created new terrorist cells. Having done that they should go for fighting or end their lives in a suicide attack. This way the minimize the risk of unveiling the plot.

Previous inspections and the asylum procedure have been ineffective. In 2015 CT experts from EU law enforcement, special services and border guards have obliged people having close contact with immigrants to report changes in newcomers’ appearance which might indicate religious radicalization. This has been very evident in wearing long beard and shaved off facial hair above upper lip, curses and threats to infidels, insulting societies and EU institutions and so on. In summer 2016 German intelligence published a 33 paged brochure with similar information to make the society aware of the extremist activities. Islamist extremists had realized for the long time already that their activities in Europe were monitored. They do everything then to blend in e.i. they do not carry facial hair, wear Western-style outfit, they visit clubs and restaurants, do not hesitate to drink alcohol and pork meat. All this within tauriyah, religiously justified lie for good of the Islam and this way for the fight to ultimate victory over infidels. German Bundeskriminalamt (BKA) got over 400 pieces of information about people who are reportedly terrorists or only sympathizers of the IS. In 80 cases investigations have been opened but administration of justice and administration of the regions (Länder) are quite tolerant towards Islamic extremism regarding it as some kind of cultural difference within legal boundaries, speech and faith rights and that limit preventive measures. And in each case German security services claimed that increasing number of immigrants does not translate into an increase in terrorist threat. It was only last year when some members of the government claimed that there were no reliable evidence that IS fighters come to Europe among immigrants.

These opinions were at odds with the facts and they were alarming and worrying so much because people who offered them chaired or leaded security institutions. Ne-

azyłantow.wid.18592403.wiadomosc.html?ticaid=11862f&_tcrsn=5 [access: 15 XI 2016].
vertheless, there is also the other side of the coin. Even if German law enforcement are very active they are given a critical judgment. A good example of this give events in Köln during the last New Year’s Eve. One year before also during a New Year’s Eve there had been only 140 Police officers on the spot who were inactive against mass sexual harassment acts and assaults by north-African and Middle Eastern immigrants. There were more than 1200 notifications of that kind then, including rape reports of 22 women and only 6 of the perpetrators received punishment. During the same event a year later there were more than 1500 police and security officers on the spot. On the cathedral square there were also barriers and metal barrages and the Police kept a record of the people of the oriental type of appearance. Those who did not have any IDs where not put in. Those who resisted were stopped. There were more than 1000 people controlled, 190 were turned back and more than 90 were stopped. The Left, the Green Party and human rights defenders expressed their strong opposition to these activities calling them humiliating, unlawful, breaking human rights because only people of Arabic look had been controlled. Also the Police kicked things up a little using on Twitter a discriminating word *nafris* (germ. Nordafrikaners, ang. North-Africans) against the controlled ones. It might have suggested that they had been controlled not because of what they had done but because of their specific look, what was called an indication of racism\textsuperscript{30}. And one more event on the contrary. In the end of October 2016 a group of immigrants brutally kicked a woman and pushed her down the stairs in the tube in Berlin. The woman fell down the stairs. There was a tube CCTV footage of the event which in December was put into on the Internet. To Internet users’ surprise the Police announced that they were searching not for the perpetrators of the crime but for people who published the footage. The Police claimed that the law might have been broken and evidence might have been disclosed. Internet users commented the case totally different. It was the politically correct Police that did not intend to find perpetrators but a person who revealed the footage\textsuperscript{31}. So, how should the German Police react? If they are passive towards aggressive immigrants they become a subject to society and press criticism and if they are active they become a subject to politicians and part of the media devastating criticism.

Anxiety over being accused of racism, breaking human rights, islamophobia has been paralyzing Western security forces. In the UK for example during 10 years Pakistani gangs have sexually abused ca. 1400 British girls and the Police concealed it with such an elaborate precautions. Only when the whole case could not be hushed up any longer a special report was prepared and stated that the reason for abandonment, hushing


up and making nothing of the case was the anxiety of being accused of racism and of political consequences of turning against ethnic minority. It is also common to make wrong immigrants age identification as I had mentioned before. But what happened in one of the British foster families could have ended terribly. With help of social services they took a 12-year-old Afghan boy named Jamal, who was officially an orphan without any relatives who could take care of him in the UK. New foster parents put him into one room together with their own children aged 12 and 14. The boy was lanky but tall for his age. At the beginning he did not arouse any suspicions. Only when the foster mother took him to the climbing wall and shooting range she got suspicious. His torso did not look like a torso of a teenager and apart from that he knew very well how to handle with weapon. Her suspicions confirmed a dentist. Jamal’s teeth indicated that in reality the boy was 10 years older than he had stated. The foster family notified the fact to social services and they decided to search the boy’s personal belongings. They found some worrying materials linked to Talibs and some pornography in his telephone. Jamal was sent to Afghanistan. His foster mother recalled that in his last words he was threatening them saying that he knew where they lived and that he would kill her and her family. Publication of the story took place at the same time when the illegal immigrant camp in Calais was to eliminate in October. According to an agreement the UK was to accept tens of teenagers aged 14 to 17, who had been in the camp lonely, many of whom have families in the UK. But quite a big number of “teenagers” did not look like teenagers. Journalists analyzed their photographs and stated that these “orphans are more than 20 years old or even 30.” Daily Mail published photographs of eight of such “teenagers”. Computer analysis indicated that the youngest is 23 and the oldest 38 years old. But the Police, politicians and officials do not want to see it not to be accused of racism, particularly as human rights organizations and institutions taking care of immigrants oppose to a simple dentist examination in order to establish real age of Asian and African “orphans”. British authorities stated that immigrants are thoroughly checked but in reality it is a simple verification based on the general physical look. British Home Office spokesman admitted that the age of immigrants was not checked on the basis of dental examination because such procedure could be regarded as too “intrusive.” So, concessions to Muslim immigrants, their privileges and political correctness not state citizens’ security are on the first place.

In Sweden the Police is bound by the 291 Clause. This is a formal ban on informing media about incidents with regard to immigrants and before 20 October 2015 to 8 January 2016 only there were more than 5000 such incidents including rebellious acts in refugees centers, which are more and more difficult to cope with. One of such incidents in Västerås ended up with the escape of policemen. Since 2008 there


have been ca. 1 million of immigrants come to Sweden, mostly from the Middle East. The number of policemen has increased about 2000 at that time. Currently it is quite difficult to find up to date statistics but in 2014 there were 7387 police officers in Sweden. In 2015 836 resigned from the job not to mention about those who retired. Sweden will have to wait for new ones because there are only 350 graduates from Police academies each year and the education and training process is 3,5 years time. Meanwhile in 2015 and 2016 there were 61 incidents with regard to immigrants each day. In bigger cities street gangs are very troublesome. In Göteborg the Police have noted a serious increase in their activities, about 20 robberies each day and they become more and more bold and brutal. Among those thousands of immigrants expecting to be expelled from Sweden there is a group of 800 individuals claiming to be adolescents from Morocco. The majority of the group provide for themselves by stealing in different European countries. At the moment Sweden is the most attractive country for them because apart from a wide range of benefits they feel totally unpunished there. The example is the main accused in a September 2015 very brutal group rape in Stockholm trial. The accused coming from Morocco with no permanent whereabouts claimed himself a 16 year old was sentenced to 9 month stay in a juvenile centre from which he soon escaped and up to this moment he is at large. No victim nor her advocate have not been informed about the escape. With the terrorist threat increase Swedish policemen alarm that they are helpless in case of an attack. They do not have enough weapons nor security equipment. The main element of their weapon are nine millimeter handgun. They lack MP5 machine pistols. They have only 10 to 20 in Göteborg and to use them a special permission from a high level officer is needed. Apart from that not many policemen are trained to use them properly; in one of the districts it is only one in every 100. MP5 machine pistols used by the Swedish Police have small-caliber, too small ammunition container and too short range. The main concern of the Police Chief Dan Eliasson is, according to his subordinates, taking care of creating a positive media image of the Police. There are ca. 200 people in PR division for that and 25 million SEK to buy “strategic communication” from one of the PR agencies34.

Lack of personnel, equipment and too much risk of life and loss of health by dealing with criminals from immigrant environment caused that in September 2016 as much as 80 % of policemen wanted to quit the job. Fears about their own safety was the main reason35. In December 2016 Swedish authorities announced that ca. 64 000 new immigrants per year will be needed to maintain current level of social services system because the birth rate has been decreasing and the society has been getting older and older. This is the reason the country needs immigrants to maintain balance between the working and pensioners. Otherwise, the country will lack workers. However, until 2016 there has been only 30 000 applications for right of residence

in Sweden, representing a decrees of the number from the record levels observed in 2015. There were 163,000 people who submitted applications. Taking into account the number of residents it was a European record. On the other hand, Swedish immigration agency notifies cases of potential threats from people who try to get the right of residence in Sweden. In 2016 the Swedish SAEPO got 671 cases. One year earlier it was 461 notified suspects and in 2014 only 109. SAEPO does not distinguish between people from war zones and other newcomers. In 2016 Swedish intelligence issued only 46 negative decisions after having checked 671 cases. In 2015 there were only 29 negative decisions. Swedish employment agency suspects that in 2017 about 30,000 to 50,000 of immigrants will be applying for housing and work.

In most Western countries crimes perpetrators are not identified by their origin although it is widely known that they are mostly immigrants from Muslim countries or their descendants. Giving such information to the media would allow to identify such person as Islam believer even if only a name was given. That is the reason why media are not given any identity details of perpetrators. The reason is not to spread anti-immigrant, islamophobic moods within the society. Since 2012 I have been trying to find a connection between not fully explained catastrophes’ and accidents’ causes and possible religious radicalization of their perpetrators. Messages we got are necessarily random and refer to events with a big number of victims but they do not say about perpetrators much. I, personally, have been paying attention to such events since a road accident or, to be more precise, a land catastrophe which took place on 23 March 2012 in Switzerland. A coasted Belgian bus hit against a wall in the tunnel. 28 people including 22 children died then. Different versions of possible causes of the accident given by the Swiss Police and prosecutors aroused numerous doubts among experts. In my opinion, if the driver’s religion has been confirmed as Islam the law enforcement could come back to this investigation qualifying the accident as suicidal terrorist attack. However, I got to know nothing from the modern press reports about any attempts to identify the driver on this matter. His identity details have not been given in the available sources. It cannot be excluded that services preferred not to reveal it because in case such assumptions were confirmed or the details were revealed it could lead to a mass trust undermining between employers and employees from Muslim countries. And it is labour force next to humanitarian aspects the main factor of receiving immigrants by EU countries. Similar indications could be in case of the missing aircraft of the Malaysia Airlines with 239 persons onboard which took its last flight from Kuala Lumpur to Beijing on 8 March 2014. Most passengers were non-Muslims. Pilots on the contrary. The captain could have changed flight route and crashed the plane into the Indian Ocean dying as a martyr.

---


Other measures are taken, even public opinion manipulation, to prove that an event was not of terrorist kind and its perpetrator was under the influence of drugs or alcohol, or was under psychiatric treatment or stubbed a passenger or passer-by with unspecified then reason. Such statements appear quite often even in cases of evident terrorist attacks as in Berlin on 23 December 2016 done by Anis Amri and at the Paris Orly Airport on 18 March 2017 done by Ziyad Belkacem. In case of each terrorist attack Western politicians say that it was an act of senseless violence and that the society would not allow to be frightened by terrorists. This way they try to distract from the true motives of their perpetrators. Calling jihadists’ attacks senseless and constant questioning hatred of Muslims towards the West is only a political act and a propaganda tool to gather votes before elections and avoiding charges of political incorrectness. This is true that we should not be frightened by terrorists but we also should not use metaphors or euphemisms with regard to Islamic terrorism because these extremists grow only more impertinent. It is their goal to rise hatred among Western societies to Muslims that would help to escalate mutual violence. Any tries to soothe by the politics the perception of contemporary terrorism will not diminish the hatred of Muslims towards the West. It is open or more or less hidden. The best example is an article from IS journal called Dabiq, Number 15 of shawwal 1437 (2016) entitled Why We Hate You & Why We Fight You, in which this whole interpretation of hatred is developed.

According to the author or authors they hate us because we do not recognize Allah as the one and only god but we worship other gods, we blaspheme against the Lord when we claim that he has a son. We invent lies about his prophets and envoys. We also give in to some evil practices. This is the reason why they generate reluctance and hostility against us. Our lack of faith is the first reason why they fight with us. It is an obligation for Muslims to fight with infidels until they surrender either becoming Muslims or paying Jizya (tax per head) and living their lives of humiliation under Muslims’ reign. Even if we stop fighting with them, the best scenario in the state of war for us is to suspend attacks. But we will not be able to stop war. They hate us because our secular and liberal societies allow things that have been prohibited by Allah and prohibit things that Allah has ordered. In fact it does not refer to us because Christianity and paganism accept division between religion and a state and guarantee that our desires shall be fulfilled due to legislators who have power thanks to our votes. This way we try to deprive Allah of due obedience. We usurp this right for ourselves. Our secular liberalism has led us to tolerate or even support gay rights, alcohol and drugs, adultery, gambling and usury and to laugh at those who reject the sinful acts. War against us has been declared so that we stop spreading unbelief and immorality – secularism and nationalism, our perverted liberal values, Christianity and atheism, depravation and perversion. Our mission is “to liberate” Islamic societies and their mission is to fight our influence and secure humankind from our loopy ideas and our pervert way of life. The main reason of this hatred will last until we take Islam.

Atheists are hated and are fought off because they do not believe in the Lord and the Creator. We can see outstanding diversity of creatures, outstanding precision of physics that rule the universe but we insist that they are an accident. We stick to our guns that those who treat these remarkable signs as works of wise and almighty Creator should be excluded. Lack of faith leads also to a negation of the Last Judgment. We claim that “you only live once”. Muslims hate us for our sins against Islam and the war is a punishment for our attacks on their religion. We will be the goal for their hatred and we will be the goal for their revenge until we will be laughing at their religion, offend Noah and Abraham, Moses, Jesus and Muhammad, Allah prophets, burn the Quran, openly condemn Sharia. And they will not be using slogans or posters but knives and bullets. They hate us for our crimes against Muslims, our drones, aircrafts, manslaughters all over the world, our straw men on the unlawfully taken land, for tortures and the fight with all who demand the truth. They want us to stop killing their men, women and children and to release arrested and tortured. They fight with us to get revenge for those numerous Muslims who suffered from our actions. They hate us because we have invaded their countries and they will be fighting with us to make us go away. Until there is a piece of land we had taken from them jihad will be their duty. One needs to be understood, our foreign policy is not the most important reason for this hatred. Even if we stopped bombing them, jailed them, torture them, slam them and taking their land, they would still hate us. The main reason for their hatred will exist until we do not take Islam. Even if we pay Jizya and live under Islam in humiliation they will still hate us. They will stop fighting with us like in case of all infidels but they will not stop hating us. What is important, we must understand that they fight with us not only to punish us and deter us but to provide a true freedom in this life and redemption. It means freedom from our fantasies and desires as well as from our clergy and authorities’ greed. It means salvation due to the praise of the Lord and the Creator and following the footsteps of his messenger. They fight to draw us from the darkness of disbelief to the light of Islam and release us from restrictions of life only to pleasure so that we could enjoy life here on Earth as well as in Heaven.

Reading more one can find that the clue of the situation is the fact that there is an explanation for what is terrorism, war, cruelty and brutality. Despite the fact that some liberal journalists would like to believe that our enemies are monsters who do not think logically, the truth is that step by step they increase a calculating war against the West that thinks it is over now. We have been dragged through the mud and when we think that we managed to escape, we realize that we get in it even deeper. They offer solutions on their own conditions. So we can believe that these awful terrorists hate our “coffee lattes” and Timberland shoes and that we can still send heavy money trying to win a battle with no chance. Or we can accept reality and admit that Muslims will not stop hating us until we take Islam and they will never stop fighting with us until we are not ready to leave the damp of war and terror using the path they offer. The Lord has told that to nations of the book and that is Islam, Jizya or – as a form of escape from revenge – temporary peace

39 Ibidem.
Nothing can be added, particularly as the text is accompanied by the right verses from the Quran. This way it is a religious legitimization of all actions against infidels. Christians are the goal of 75% of attacks. Every month there are average of 322 Christians killed all over the world which means 10 persons per day. Between November 2015 and October 2016 there were 7100 Christians killed. At that time there were 2400 attacks on Catholic, Orthodox and Protestant communities.

**De-radicalization programs failure**

According to the IS and jihadists war and terror will last until the end of the Western civilization we know. Meanwhile, some EU countries propose extremists de-radicalization programs. As I mentioned above, it happens for example in Sweden. Scandinavian countries and Germany are pioneer countries in de-radicalization programs called “Exit” but in the past they applied to members of neo-Nazi groups and members of motorbike gangs. In 2011 the European Commission constituted the Radicalisation Awareness Network (RAN) which aim is to gather knowledge on radical behaviors and passing it to member states of the EU. Within its framework there are some working groups for example regarding the Internet, education, prevention or communication and counter-narration. RAN tried to establish a model of cooperation between the Police, social services, schools and other entities involved in counteracting radicalization, extremism and terrorism particularly among young people. Provided that such programs can be effective in case of people from Western civilization they may fail in case of Muslim fanatics. One can prevent a man from violence but his beliefs will stay inside. It is impossible to get rid of beliefs from people’s minds. It is already Saudi Arabia tried to re-educate Islamic extremists in luxurious centers. The program was based on Egyptian experience that started to re-educate members of Al-Jama’a al-Islamiyya fi Misr while in prisons. In July 1997 they announced suspension of terrorist actions. They found that terrorist campaign in Egypt ended in failure because it turned the society against them. Strategy relied on the mobilization of devout inhabitants to overthrow government, failed. One might think that claiming terrorist activities suspension was a part of a deal with authorities to ease prison conditions because members of the organizations together with their leader Rifai Ahmed Taha on the loose were of different opinion. They organized and staged the most vicious attack in the history of this organization. On 17 November 2007 four of its members killed in Luxor 58 Western tourists.

In 2005 in Saudi Arabia at the request of the Minister of Interior, Prince Najif ibn Abd al-Aziza as-Saud there was established a so called Munasah Commission which took ca. 5000 meetings between 2005 and 2007 with 3200 alleged Al Qaeda sympathizers staying in prisons. At that time ca. 1500 individuals who had expressed remorse and renounced the idea of armed jihad were released from prisons.

---

In the Commission there were Muslim academics and psychologists whose aim was to explain radicals the teachings of Islam and to make them abandon deviation and distortion\(^{41}\). In 2007 a special rehab program for Islamic extremists was developed and it was put into practice in five luxurious centers. The participants were to teach how to keep from aggression, they had psychology classes and art therapy. During their free time they could swim in the swimming pool, play football, volleyball and tennis. They also had to participate in religion lectures for a few hours per week. The lectures concentrated on the explanation of the jihad idea. Having spent two months in the center a remorseful graduate left it being given a lifetime grant of 700 USD per month or assistance in finding a job, 18 000 USD for wedding ceremony, free car and furnished apartment. The authorities spent hundreds of millions of dollars on this program. And in the beginning it was presented as a huge success. In 2008 Saudi Arabia claimed that none of the courses’ participants was back into fighting. Though, in February 2009 on the official list of terrorists wanted there were 11 names of the graduates of the Riyadh center who had come back from Guantanamo camp. Muhammad Otaik al-Ufi was among them and in the late 2009 he handed himself over to the Saudi authorities. He had also showed up on a video footage next to Said bin Ali ash-Shihri, one of the leaders of the Arab Peninsula Al Qaeda (Tanzim al-Qaedafi Jazirat al-Arab). Shihri being a Saudi national was sent from Guantanamo camp in 2007 to Saudi Arabia and just after the rehab course had ended he disappeared to show up again in Yemen. With a wave of Guantanamo prisoners coming back to Saudi Arabia each one in five refused to participate in rehab courses and during George W. Bush era there were 120 back in Saudi Arabia. The most dangerous jihad fighters were not proposed rehab courses at all. They were usually sent to maximum security prisons built in American style. Others were sent to closed reformatories. Until the end of 2008 there had been 218 graduates of this rehab program, Saudis recruited in the country and people who had fought in Iraq, Afghanistan and former prisoners of Guantanamo. After they had been released from the centers they were not put under strict surveillance\(^{42}\).

Saudi authorities did not evaluate the rehab programs after 10 years of existence but most probably they did not fulfill their goals. The evidence of it may be the fact that there were between 4000 to 6000 volunteers from Saudi Arabia who travelled to fight in Iraq and Syria, the biggest number after Tunisia. Another fact that confirmed small effectiveness of the rehab programs was a decree by King Abdullah of March 2014 which sharpened counter terrorist law. According to this new law terrorist attack perpetrators shall be sentenced to death but acts of terror have become additionally the acts of compromising the state reputation, incitement to atheistic thoughts and any contacts to groups in opposition to government. There is also a punishment of 30


years imprisonment for fighting abroad and membership in any terrorist organization. Already in October 2014 the court in Riyadh sentenced 13 members of a terrorist group including a Qatar national and an Afghani to such imprisonment. Eleven other convicted (only Saudis) were sentenced to imprisonment from 18 months to 25 years. They had planned attacks on American targets in Kuwait and Qatar.\(^{43}\)

Rehab centers inspired by the Saudis were opened in Philippines, Sri Lanka, Indonesia and Singapore. In 2015 Pentagon allocated 4.5 million USD for a 5-year studies on the Maryland University on the ways of distracting people from terrorism. The British Home Office started Channel program, which was a nationwide project to counteract youth radicalization. It started in 2007. Within a governmental CT strategy there has already been introduced another program called Prevent which is based on the activity of Muslims themselves. It was them who were supposed to report threats. The assessments on its effectiveness were divided. Channel was supposed to be different. The program was to be more intimate. It should get closely to a person involved and change his behavior. It was pointed out that no other country had tried anything like that before. Nevertheless, the program itself was very mysterious because nobody knew exactly how effective if at all it was. Within its framework the city of Luton started a program called Channel Luton Borough County. Local authorities set up a team of workers from social services whose competences and scope of work were fitted with tools to protect children, youth and adults from radicalization or from membership in any extreme groups. This model is based on the tight cooperation with schools and families as two main places of shaping attitudes.\(^{44}\)

In September 2015 British media informed that some part of volunteers from the UK who had left for fighting for IS in Syria and Iraq were disappointed by jihad. Some wanted to come back home but they feared being put on trial. One of the disappointed jihadists contacted the International Centre for the Study of Radicalization – ICSR by King’s College in London. He claimed that represented a group of 30 British Muslims who had got enough of the situation that they were forced to fight with other Syrian opposition groups instead of with President Assad’s army. As some social media sites claimed a part of jihadists in Syria feared that if they die in a fight with other Islamic groups not with Assad’s army they miss the paradise as a reward for being martyrs. The British who had contacted ICSR declared that he was ready to take part in a rehab program as well as his brothers in arms and to be closely monitored for many years. The Chief of ICSR, Peter Neumann suggests that the government should introduce a de-radicalization program for disappointed jihadists as an antidote for IS propaganda. He also addressed


\(^{44}\) http://www.fundacjaibs.pl/eksperci-ibs-wspolpracuja-z-radicalisation-awareness-network-ran/ [access: 12 VI 2016].
the government so that not all foreign fighters coming home to be treated as terrorists because most of them do not pose any threat to international safety\textsuperscript{45}.

The fact that the British jihadist chose ICSR as intermediary to get amnesty for himself and his 30 fellow countrymen was not random. In this de-radicalization group there were “inverted” jihadists who used to fight in the Middle East but after a while decided to come back. According to media reports the process of turning back Islamist extremists in the UK succeeded quite well and only one Islamist in nine went back to terrorist activity. Unfortunately they did not specify what exactly was it about. Was it maybe about their journey one more time to fight zone in the Middle East? If yes, it means that such programs are not effective enough, they do not reverse the process of radicalization among young people which is difficult, sometimes impossible but they can help to abandon the idea and thought of an attack or presence in armed activity at most. Access to de-radicalization programs by foreign fighters coming back home should be closely monitored and there should not be an amnesty for them. Everybody would rather change 30 years imprisonment (like for example in the UK) into a place in a rehab “experiment”. Numerous foreign fighters coming back to Europe are war criminals who are recognized by refugees. Some are for example Syrian jihadists who had gained a refugee status in Europe and enjoyed freedom and benefits. Three of them were recognized by Abdo Haddad, a refugee from a Syrian town of Maalula, an important place of Christian worship which was attacked by jihadists and destroyed in December 2013. Some of its habitants were murdered on the place or kidnapped the rest managed to escape. Among the recognized terrorists from the Ahl Al-Sham organization was one of its leaders Muhammad Hasan Diab alias Abol Kasem al-Kalamuni. While in Maaluli he slit a Syrian soldier’s throat and then kicked it as it was a ball\textsuperscript{46}.

The Muslim Council of Britain, the biggest Islamic organization in the UK took a decision on its own de-radicalization program in Autumn 2016 showing discontent about the governmental Prevent program which has included ca. 8000 people. According to Muslim activists the essence of the program was a surveillance of brothers and sisters in faith. The new program is to take place in mosques and shall be based on imams, also those who were accused of radical beliefs. Its goal will exclusively be explanation to persons prone to any terrorist activities that violence and terror is not proper from the point of view of Islam\textsuperscript{47}.

British still realize their expensive programs while for many years there has been a lot of struggle to extradite radical Islam preachers who openly called to destroy the West like Mustafa Kemal Mustafa alias Abu Hamza al-Masri and Omar Mahmoud

\textsuperscript{45} M. Kokot, Extremists want back „Wyborcza newspaper” of 8 September 2014 [online], http://pomlemi.co.uk/informacje/wielka-brytania/piata-kolumna-islamskiego-kalifatu-11773 [access: 5 X 2014].


Osman alias Abu Qatada al-Filastini. The first one was extradited from the UK to America in October 2012 where he was sentenced to life imprisonment in 2015 and the second was extradited in July 2013 to Jordan where he was born. Another front page example of Islamic extremists who was taken lightly was Anjem Choudary known as “hatred preacher”. Over 20 years he has been appearing in news programs all over the world and without being censored has spread ideology of hatred. He has lectured extremist ideologies in mosques and on crowded London main streets. Everywhere he openly promoted Sharia law. The subsequent British ministers of interior prohibited organizations he had founded but Choudary immediately founded new ones with changed names, from Al-Muhadżirun through Al-Ghuraba, Islam4UK to Muslims Against Crusades. The Police managed to find links between him and many uncovered secret IS inspired terrorist acts in the UK. List of these links of Choudary was quite long. There were bomb attacks in London subway and bomb attack on a bus on 7 July 2005 and a British soldier, Lee Rigby slaughter in Woolwich, south London 8 years later on the list. Choudary has links to Michael Adebaljo, the murderer of Rigby. Dean Haydon, Head, SO15 Counter-Terrorism Command for London & International Operations, Metropolitan Police Service, UK confirmed that Choudary and his supporters together created a dangerous power if it comes to radicalization among Muslims in the UK. The preacher was the head of a net called Sharia4...(here: name of the respective country) which created its branches all over Europe being particularly successful in Belgium as Sharia4Belgium. It is where the organization recruited jihadists from abroad and had an influence on the organization of attacks in Paris of 13 November 2015 in which 130 people died and more than 350 were injured. Rubana Akhtar, Choudary’s wife was also a radical Islamist activist. In the eastern London she carried out secret courses for women and children about the alleged war the West was waging against Islam. Only a public declaration of IS fidelity by Choudary has decided about charging him. In early September 2016 he was sentenced for 5 and a half years of imprisonment instead of 10 he was looking at. The verdict of “guilty” had been preceded by a millions of pounds investigation48.

It seems that de-radicalization programs are quite ineffective. It is impossible to assess whether anybody has “eased” their views. If a person claims that he/she has abandoned their ideas it may be regarded as a lie. It is also very hard to predict further behavior of such a person. Alleged sincere remorse of Islamists happens also to be false. It is very common that they pretend changing their way of life to mislead security services. The French openly admitted that they are helpless in the face of Muslim youth radicalization. In one of the centers opened for example in 2016 in a historic place in Beaumont-en-Veron near Tours psychologists were convincing some extremists that European civilization is not “a devil’s work” which has to be destroyed. It ended in failure. First few participants who were sent to this centre by a court decision, con-

considered the lectures in the centre as “anti-Islam brainwashing”. After few weeks they started to preach even more hostile views than before. One of them attacked and beat up workers of the centre which aim was “humanist approach” to possible terrorists. Inhabitants from the neighborhood demanded the centre was closed down immediately because they were afraid of their security\(^49\).

On 21 February 2017 a report by Senate concerning governmental programs of Muslim de-radicalization was published. They ended up in complete failure. David Thompson\(^50\) has examined the French jihadists who had belonged to IS structures in the Middle East and came back to France after a while. He stressed that there was nobody who would abandon his ideology after de-radicalization program. There were some who left for a war to Syria following such program. He noticed how hard it was to influence the young Islamists views. And families more than of help occur a radicalization support factor. Islamists do not care about moderate Muslims voices because they treat them as traitors. Nevertheless, there are some examples of de-radicalization but they are always a result of personal maturing\(^51\).

The end

Currently we have been witnessing social changes in Europe, slow but effective and causing sometimes insecurity, concern and even fear. Certainly, they will influence the security of inhabitants of the continent. Although the French, the British, the Germans, the Swedes or the Dutch have accepted mass immigration, but polls show increasing negative attitude towards the process and increasing support for extreme right groups, anti-Islam and anti-immigrant. Apart from the colorable de-radicalization we can see slight integration of Muslim communities not to say about their assimilation. The newcomers from Muslim countries, those who have lived in Europe for years and those who have been very short on the continent not only identify with their whereabouts but also they reject European values and our legal norms. For the world of Islam political correctness is something odd, also dialogue and human rights are regulated by the Declaration of Human Rights in Islam of Cairo signed by member states of the former Organization of Islam Conference in Cairo in 1990. It subordinates all human rights and freedoms to Sharia law. Sharia is Islamic law e.i. set of rules which must be obeyed by any Muslim who wants to get along with religion. The rules of Sharia are based on the god’s revelation included in Quran and passed to the prophet Muhammad via the Archangel Gabriel. This way Sharia is a part of Muslim faith and there is no separation in Islam between secular law and rules of faith. The basic Sharia criterion is division of human deeds into obligatory (fard), recommended (mustahab),

\(^{49}\) \url{http://www.rmf24.pl/fakty/swiat/news-fiasko-osrodka-deradykalizacji-islamskich-ekstremistowzaost,nId,2350383 [access: 10 II 2017]}

\(^{50}\) D. Thompson, \emph{Les Revenants}, Paris 2016.

permitted (halal), condemned (makruh) and prohibited (haram)\textsuperscript{52}. So the Declaration of Human Rights in Islam of Cairo cannot be compatible with European Convention on Human Rights and the UN Convention on Human Rights which do not address to the supernatural. If the Declaration of Human Rights in Islam of Cairo accepted separate rules at the same time it means that these which had been established earlier were not accepted by the Muslim world.

IS will be defeated definitely in the near future but it does not mean the end of this organization and also of the caliphate idea which Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi had self styled announced. It will also not be the end of problems with terrorism the West faces nowadays. The threat will increase because of radicalized Muslims staying already in EU countries and those who will come as immigrants. Up to this moment they could fight for their quazi-state. If they lack this they will turn against those who made it collapse no matter “the jihad language” e.i. promotion of the faith. One cannot forget that Salafis regard Islamic state in Iraq and Syria as their spiritual homeland. It does not matter that only a part of Salafis address terrorism, quietist and political Salafis concentrate on spiritual and peaceful social changes which are to overthrow a man’s kingdom on the Earth and introduce God’s kingdom\textsuperscript{53}. All of them do not recognize Western system of values and each gesture of goodwill and willingness to compromise they treat as a sign of weakness. Demand from the West to follow their own rules they treat only as an element of a tactical play that restricts room for maneuver. They show their students Western decay, which should be destroyed and the highest virtue is lack of any moral dilemmas along with saying \textit{La budd li-l-islam an jahkum} (“Inevitably Islam will reign”) and their spiritual teacher, Sayyid Qutb (1906-1966): \textit{Muslims are responsible for their activities only in front of Allah}. It should be admitted that these lessons reach a wide Muslim groups in Europe. In Germany, for example, for the last three years the number of Salafis has increased from ca. 3000 to ca. 8000. They control 12 out of 3000 mosques and more than 100 sympathize with them\textsuperscript{54}. The severity of terrorist activities carried out in any possible way may be expected. There will be no room for


\textsuperscript{53} Sajjid Abu Ala al-Maududi (1903–1979), Muslim scholar from Pakistan, one of the greatest interpreters of Koran and one of the Salafis spirituals leaders. He said: “Islam is not usual religion as others and Islamic nations are not usual nations. Islamic nations are special because they were given on order by Allah to rule the world and dominate every other nation in the world. Islam is a revolutionary religion created to destroy every form of a state built by people”. He also claimed that: “Islam wants to destroy all existing states and governmental systems which contradict ideology of Islam and its goals no matter which states or nations. The aim of Islam is to create a state based on its own concept/idea no matter which nation was to take the vision or which governmental system was to be destroyed. An obvious point comes out of these deliberations: the aim of Islamic jihad is to eliminate all non-Muslim systems and to introduce governments based in Islam instead. In this revolutionary idea Islam does not impose restrictions only to one country or a group of countries. The aim of Islam is a worldwide revolution”. M. Orzechowski, \textit{Islamist, my neighbour...}, p. 177; M. Sadowski, \textit{Jihad – Holy war in Islam}, „Internal Security Review” 2013, no. 8, p. 41.

\textsuperscript{54} A. Ciechanowicz, \textit{Islamic State in Germany. Germany in Islamic State. Germany and their native jihadists}, OSW Report, December 2016, p. 20.
political correctness and EU pressure on member states to accept next thousands of immigrants. This way potential enemies of our way of life are allowed to stay and the risk of terror attacks has been increasing. As long as immigrants are convinced that they can come to Europe where they will be placed even in the less friendly countries, they will reach EU borders. Exceptional circumstances push to change behavior and threats to security push to take decisions which normally would not be taken. This is the reason why immigrants from Muslim countries should not be accepted. They should be returned to their home countries also because of the fact that mostly they come on economic grounds and not because of wars. If it was the argument of war they would have found shelter in countries with close religious and cultural ties. Already more than two thirds of Muslims living in EU put their religious rules above European law and even more are prejudiced against the West and are glad after each terrorist attack because it builds up their faith and beliefs. Those who do not go against native Europeans yet they sympathize with their brothers in faith – assassins. The more victims the bigger applause. When on 13 November 2015 there was a series of attacks in Paris, about 40 porters of Muslim faith were cheering on the Brussels Airport of Zaventem. Policemen and passengers were shocked but it was the only reaction on such behavior. According to airport security any specific reaction would be unsuitable because it could be regarded as islamophobic. It is also known that after every deadly attack sympathizers of IS share their joy and triumph in the social media. Muslim minorities impose their way of life on us and often demand the environment to conform rigidly to their religious rules. It regards, for example, separate education programs, separation of sexes, halal meals at schools, separate opening hours of swimming pools for Muslim girls (using burkinis) or places of worship at work places. In countries with a big Muslim diaspora they run tight groups spreading their culture and rituals on the streets of the cities which makes the streets loose their European look step by step and look more like city streets of the Orient.

This dangerous process of islamization of Europe with a consent of political elites or even with their support has been observed for a long time. Bat Ye’or (Daughter of the Nile), Gisèle Littman’s pen name, has dedicated many of her works to this subject. She has presented a process of Europe islamization since the 70-ties of the twentieth century when Western elites have started a project of mutual diffusion of cultures from Northern and Southern Mediterranean see. The anticipated concept of multiculturalism has developed in two different areas of European politics. In the first one multiplicity and variety of cultures had to be a main instrument to create solidarity between the community countries. This concept was based on mutual diffusion of the same values, cultures and longing for freedom which were present on the same level of historical experiences of the Western European societies. In the second area it was supposed to be a catalyst for the Euro-Arab integration around the Mediterranean Sea. In this context it regarded mainly to many Muslim immigrants coming to Europe. But
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they denied any integration into societies. In the end the multiculturalism has grown up to the main element of the common Euro-Mediterranean security policy and that made politicians easier to circumvent declared by Muslims integration denial. The topic of integration was to be changed into equivalence of cultures and values. But this idea collapsed also because it meant something in the Western civilization but Muslim societies demonstrate their superiority over others according to the following verse from Quran: *You are the best nation which has been created for people: you impose what is recognized and prohibit what is reprehensible; and you believe in God. It would be better for the nation of the Book if it believed. There are believers among them but the majority are people who spread corruption (surah III:110)*. This state of co-existence of different cultures has lead to asymmetric relations which officially are effectively distorted while they prejudice the nature and the merits of Europe’s relationships with Islam. Because this side more and more effectively imposes its will on the other there has been introduced a notion of “Eurabia”.

Eurabia appears to be taking shape at faster rate than Oriana Fallaci could have imagined. Because of the books *La Rabbia e l’Orgolio* and *La Forza Della Ragione* she was criticized by political correctness and multiculturalism fans and attacked by different backgrounds not only Muslim. Both authors had a word of warning which is a fulfilling prophecy especially in the context of hundreds of thousands of immigrants coming to Europe from the high risk countries and those who had already been on the continent. The majority of them are not loyal to their host countries and their citizens but to their own Muslim community. In December 2002 Ajman az-Zawahiri, present leader of Al-Qaeada, published in London Arab-speaking newspaper *Al-Kuds al-Arabi* (“Arab Jerusalem”) an article *Al-wala wa al-barra* (“Faithfulness and schism”), in which he presented a Quran definition of Muslim identity. It consists in mutual fidelity of all Muslims and their isolation and fighting Jews and Christians (*muszrikun*), apostates (*murtadun*), hypocrites (*munafikun*) and heretics (*zindikut*)60. In Autumn 2015 British *The Sun* published results of a survey which showed that every one Muslim in five on the Islands had declared their favor for jihadists. Such results were confirmed by other surveys and polls. A study of 1000 British Muslims showed that a quarter of the group feels favor for those who join such organizations as IS, one third was of the opinion that terrorist attacks carried out by Muslims in the name of Islam should not be condemned. According to the BBC polls one in four Muslims expressed his/her solidarity with brothers Cherif and Said Kouachi, the authors of a massacre in the office of Charlie Hebdo magazine in January 2015. Acting in the UK radical imam Anjem Choudary said after the attack that he was happy as all Muslims that the murdered journalists from the sa-
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tirical magazine would not offend the Prophet Muhammad any more. After terrorist attacks in Brussels on 22 March, Belgian Minister of Interior Jan Jambon claimed that “significant part of Muslims community was partying to celebrate the attacks”. But when a Belgian teacher after the attacks in Brussels twitted that Muslim children were celebrating in the class, within few hours the Police asked him to stop twitting. Terror Monitor agency dealing with extremist groups activity has published some graphics which had shown up in the social media on some web pages linked to IS. They showed how attacks in Nice in June 2016 were celebrated61.

Nevertheless, for Western elites it is not Islam believers who pose a threat but “not identified” individuals, criminals, people with mental disorders who carry out attacks. In no case their motivation is Islam although the perpetrators admit that killing people orders them the religion and they act in the name of Allah. After the attack in Nice on 14 July 2016 the authorities defined the perpetrator as French of Tunisian origin. There was not even a word “Muslim”. A lot more courage have the so called “moderate Muslims” (or better said: laicized) who are not afraid to call their “brothers” terrorists and for that they are criticized by Muslim communities and political correctness advocates. For example it was Abdel Rahman al-Rashid, chief of TV station Al-Arabiya who said: *Not all Muslims are terrorists but all terrorists are Muslim*62.

**Abstract**

Terrorist threat in Europe is at the highest rate from the 70ties and 80ties of twentieth century which were called years of lead (anni di piombo), when extreme rights and lefts attacks were accompanied by Palestinian terrorists attacks. Present terrorists are motivated by radical Islam and more specifically ideology of jihad which is spread by Salafis supporting self-appointed Caliphate of Abu Bakr al Baghdadi, their spiritual homeland. Despite serious danger political correctness of the elites does not allow to name it univocally as “Islamic terrorism” not to be accused of racism or islamophobia. They use different euphemisms and try to secure Muslim communities support, which often demand next privileges and concessions, sometimes at host countries expenses. The answer of some countries to the terrorist threat are de-radicalization programs which include also jihadists coming back from Syria and Iraq. This process despite huge amounts of money and efforts involved does not bring much success, sometimes it helps to deter young people from violence (often temporarily) without changing their overall attitude. IS claims responsibility for next terrorist attacks in Europe by lone wolves and groups of extremists and spreads propaganda in cyberspace together
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with instructions for Muslims to carry out next attacks with any tools available and materials with advice how to blend in effectively before any actions.

Simultaneously, the immigration wave which came to Europe in 2015 has increased terrorists threat and common criminality especially by adolescent Muslims who go unpunished. The problems law enforcement have with age identification of ethical nature and human rights make it very hard to fight with criminality and cause frustration among Police officers. Despite the fact that governments of many EU countries have opened their borders for immigrants, their increased influx caused objection of the part of societies and turn to populist and extreme right parties. Meanwhile, many politicians think that the real threat pose not Islamic radicals but extreme right and left groups. How much these ideas differ from reality one can observe by comparing scale of terrorism when it comes to different groups and number of victims. Well known representatives of Arab world seeking often shelter in Europe warn about the ones of their faith. They are strongly criticized by Islamic organizations and part of the native political scene or even threatened to be killed for brave opinions about the necessity of reforms in Islam by its re-interpretation and adjustment to present times.
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